

Questions

1. The `add(i, e)` method says that, when the array is full (`size == a.length`), it should first copy all the elements to bigger array, and then insert the new element at slot `i` in the new array. This is slightly inefficient since adding the element could be done *while* copying. Write out pseudocode for such a method. Assume the underlying array is `a[]`. (This is a good exercise for practicing indices.)
2. If you just want to add an element to a list and you don't care where the element goes, then the simplest approach is to add the element at the end of the list. Or, you just might *want* to add an element at the end of a list. Either way, the method is `add(e)`. Write out pseudocode for such a method. Assume the underlying array is `a[]`.
3. Give an algorithm for reversing all the elements of an array list which uses a constant amount of additional space (other than the array itself). That is, you are not allowed to use a new array for your solution.

The main idea is to use a 'swap' method which you should be familiar with from COMP 202.

```
swap(j, k){
    tmp  = a[j]
    a[j] = a[k]
    a[k] = tmp
}
```

4. Give a $O(N)$ algorithm for removing the first instance of a given object `e` in a list, assuming the list is represented as an array list and the size of the list is N . That is, give an algorithm for `remove(e)`. In your answer, you can use methods given in the lecture.

In the lecture, I presented a `remove(i)` algorithm which removes the element at index `i` in the list. Here I'm asking for a `remove(e)` algorithm which removes the first instance of object in the list, if at least one instance is present.

5. An important property of arrays is that they have constant time access. This property follows from the fact that array slots all have the same size and the address of any slot is the address of the first slot in the array plus some multiple of the array index, where the multiple is the *constant* amount of memory used by each slot.

What about an array of strings, in which the strings have possibly different lengths? Does this contradict the property just mentioned? Does one still have constant time access to an element in an array of strings?

6. **(More challenging – this is the one mentioned in the lecture)**

Suppose we wish to make an array list, and we have n elements that we would like to add. Let's start with an underlying array of length 1. (This makes the math easier.) We then do this:

```
for i = 1 to n
    add( e_i )
```

where e_i refers to the i th element in the list. Here I am assuming that these elements already exist somewhere else and I am just adding them to this new array list data structure. Note that the `add(e_i)` operation adds to the end of the current list.

- (a) How much work is needed to do this? In particular, how many times will we fill up a smaller array and have to create a new array of double the array size? How many copies do we need to make in total from each full small array to each new larger (2x) array? It requires just a bit of math to answer this question, and it is math we will see several times in this course.
- (b) What is the advantage or disadvantage of this doubling scheme, instead of just using a huge array to start?
- (c) Java's `ArrayList` class increases the new array by 50% when it needs more space. Suppose we fill up the array k times, i.e. we have to expand it k times. What is the length of the array you end up with. Ignore the rounding off errors for simplicity.

Answers

```

1.  if (size == length){
    b = new array with a bigger length (say twice as big)
    for (int j=0; j < i; j++)
        b[j] = a[j]

    b[i] = e           // adding e to slot i

    for (int j = i; j < size; j++)
        b[j+1] = a[j]       // indices are shifted

    a = b
    size = size + 1
  }

```

```

2.  if (size == length){
    // same as above, make a bigger array and copy into it
    b = new array with a bigger length (say twice as big)
    for (int j=0; j < size; j++)
        b[j] = a[j]
  }
  a = b
  a[size] = e           // insert into now empty slot
  size = size + 1

```

3. The algorithm then swaps the first and the last, the second and second last, etc.

```

reverseArrayList() {
  for (i = 0; i < size/2; i++){
    swap(i, size-1-i)
  }
}

```

If the list has a odd number of elements, then it doesn't touch the middle one, which is fine. For example, consider the case $i = 13$. It swaps 0,1,2,3,4,5 with 12,11,10,9,8,7, respectively, and doesn't touch 6.

4. The following algorithm loops through the list and examines each element at most once. Hence it takes time proportional to N in the worst case, and so we say it is $O(N)$.

Note that the code below is pseudocode! In Java, you might want to check if the object "equals" e , in the Java sense of equals.

```

remove( e ) {
  i = 0
  found = false
  while ((i < size) and (found == false)){

```

```

    if a[i] == e
        found = true
        return remove(i) // this method was discussed in the lecture
    else
        i++ // means i = i + 1
    }
    print("Could not find it.")
}

```

5. The slots in the "array of strings" don't contain strings. Rather they contain references to strings, that is, they contain addresses of strings. The references themselves are all the same size. The strings may be different size and each is located somewhere (at some address) in memory. Bottom line: the slots are all the same size, so you get constant time access to any slot, regardless of how many slots there are.
6. (a) If you double the array capacity k times (starting with capacity 1), then you end up with array with 2^k slots. So let's say $n = 2^k$, i.e. $k = \log_2 n$. That means we double it $k = \log_2 n$ times.

When we double the size of the underlying array and then fill it, we need to copy the elements from the smaller array to the lower half of the bigger array (and then eventually fill the upper half of the bigger array with new elements). When $k = 1$, we copy 1 element from an array of size 1 to an array of size 2 (and then add the new element in the array of size 2). When $k = 2$ and we create a new array of size 4, and then copy 2 elements (and then eventually add the 2 new elements). The number of copies from smaller to larger arrays when we double $k - 1$ times is:

$$1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots + 2^{k-1}.$$

Note that the last term is 2^{k-1} since we are copying into the lower half of an array with capacity $n = 2^k$.

The above expression is a geometric series

$$1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots + x^{k-1} = \frac{2^x - 1}{x - 1}$$

with $x = 2$, and so the right side is $2^k - 1$ or $n - 1$. So the number of copies from smaller to larger arrays is $2^k - 1$ or $n - 1$. So using the doubling array length scheme, the amount of work you need to do in order to add n items to an array is about twice what you would need to do if the capacity of the array was at least n at the beginning!

- (b) The advantage to using the doubling scheme rather than initializing the array to be huge is that you don't need to know in advance what the number of elements in the list will be.
- (c) When an ArrayList is declared and initialized, memory space for 10 elements is created by default. If we increase our array capacity k times, then, we end up with an array of $10 * \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k$ slots. Ignoring rounding off errors (caused since an array length can't be fractional) for simplicity and solving for k , we get, $n = 10 * \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k$.