

Planning

Agenda

- Building on logic to form plans
- How do we choose from a number of possible actions:
 - to bring us closer to the goal?
 - in a more "intelligent" manner than search?

Quick Primer on First-Order Logic

- **Predicates:**
 - assume that Spot and Fido are dogs
 - then the predicate, $\text{Dog}(x)$
returns TRUE if x is Spot or Fido

Motivation

- previously considered decision-making problems from the perspective of **search** and **game-playing**
 - Given current state, enumerate all possible future states
 - Pick best action to execute from current state
- when does this make sense?

Grocery Shopping as Search

“from home, get milk, some bananas, and a cordless drill”

- assume we will use search technique with heuristic:
minimize the number of items we have not yet acquired
- how to choose best operator? may be thousands!
- before agent can purchase anything, it has to get to the store, but how does a search technique know this?

A Better Way (sometimes)

- many actions are obviously useless or unproductive
- can be quite expensive to examine all of these
- what if we know outcome of actions?

planning = find a sequence of actions that achieves a goal when performed in a given state

Planning Domain Definition Language

- states: conjunction of positive, functionless atoms
 - e.g., $Poor \wedge Unknown$ or $At(Truck_1, Melbourne)$
- initial state: specifies everything that is true in the world (everything else assumed false)
- action schema: describes what changes, using a subset of first-order logic
- goals: represented by conjunctions of literals that may contain variables
 - e.g., $At(p, SFO) \wedge Plane(p)$

Comparison of Actions

in search

- described by state transitions
- must be considered in-order

in planning

- described by:
 - **preconditions**: what must be true before action can be performed
 - **effects**: what must be true (what changed) after action is executed
- can be considered in **any** order

Action Schema

Example: for flying a plane from one location to another:

Action (*Fly* (p , $from$, to),

PRECOND: $At(p,from) \wedge Plane(p) \wedge Airport(from) \wedge Airport(to)$

EFFECT: $\neg At(p,from) \wedge At(p,to)$

- every literal not mentioned in EFFECT remains unchanged
- effects divided into positive literals, $ADD(a)$ & negative literals, $DEL(a)$
- result of performing action a in state s :
 $RESULT(s,a) = (s - DEL(a)) \cup ADD(a)$

Forward State-Space Search (Progression) Planners

- search forward from initial state
- determine which operators apply using preconditions
- use effects lists to compute new state

Algorithm

```
procedure PROGRESSION-PLAN(s, plan)
  for some possible operator,  $\alpha_i$ 
     $u \leftarrow$  result of UNIFY(s, preconditions of  $\alpha_i$ )
    if unification step succeeds then
      add  $\alpha_i$  to plan
      apply substitution list of  $u$  to DeleteList( $\alpha_i$ ) and AddList( $\alpha_i$ )
       $t \leftarrow s$ 
      for each  $d_j$  in DeleteList( $\alpha_i$ ) delete  $d_j$  from  $t$ 
      for each  $a_j$  in AddList( $\alpha_i$ ) add  $a_j$  to  $t$ 
      if GOAL-TEST ( $t$ ) succeeds then return plan
  return PROGRESSION-PLAN ( $t$ , plan)
```

Blocks World Action Schema

move block b from x to y:

Action(Move(b,x,y))

PRECOND: $On(b,x) \wedge Clear(b) \wedge Clear(y)$,

EFFECT: $On(b,y) \wedge Clear(x) \wedge \neg On(b,x) \wedge \neg Clear(y)$

move block b from x to the table:

Action(MoveToTable(b,x))

PRECOND: $On(b,x) \wedge Clear(b)$,

EFFECT: $On(b,Table) \wedge Clear(x) \wedge \neg On(b,x)$

Practical Considerations

- **main problem:** often have huge search space because of branching factor
- not practical for real-world problems

Backward State-Space (Regression) Planners

- search backwards from goal state to initial state
- **advantage**: consider only relevant actions; i.e., those that achieve one of the conjuncts of the goal
- significantly decreases branching factor

Regression Planner

procedure REGRESSION-PLAN (t , $plan$)

for some possible operator, α

if current state contains ≥ 1 literal L , that unifies with a member a_i of $AddList(\alpha)$

add α to head of $plan$

$u \leftarrow$ result of $UNIFY(L, a_i)$

$p' \leftarrow$ apply substitution list of u to $Preconditions(\alpha)$

$t' \leftarrow$ apply substitution list of u to terms of t

regress each member m of t' through a as follows:

if m is in $AddList(\alpha)$ then True

else if m is in $DeleteList(\alpha)$ then False

else leave m as is

previous state $s \leftarrow UNION(p', t')$

if $s = INITIAL-STATE$ then return $plan$

return REGRESSION-PLAN (s , $plan$)

Partial Order Planning (POP)

start with initial plan

- consists only of **Start** and **Finish** states
- at each iteration, add one more step
- if inconsistent, backtrack

only adds steps that achieve unachieved preconditions

Homework

- Readings:
 - Ch 15.3
- Video:
 - Eisner lecture on Hidden Markov Models
- Assignment #1 submission
 - due September 30 on Moodle