

BLUR CALIBRATION FOR DEPTH FROM DEFOCUS

FAHIM MANNAN AND MICHAEL S. LANGER SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, MCGILL UNIVERSITY



DEFOCUS BLUR MODEL



Blurred Image Formation Model

$$i = i_{latent} * h(r) + \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_n)$$

(1)

Relative Blur between Defocus Pair

 $i_{blurrier} = i_{sharper} * h_R + \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_n)$ (2)

Proposed PSF Estimation Model

 $\underset{h}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} \| f_{j} * (i_{S} * h - i_{B}) \|_{2}^{2}$ $+\lambda_{n+1} \|\nabla h\|_2^2 + \lambda_{n+2} \|R \circ h\|^2$ (3) subject to $||h||_1 = 1$, $h \ge 0$.

Calibration Pattern We use a grid of disks to avoid point source issues such as: finite size and long exposure time. It also allows estimating blurs for both Eq. 1 and 2.



Figure 1: Defocus blur formation. Blur radius, $r = \frac{As}{2}(\frac{1}{f} - \frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{s}).$

where f_i s are different filters, and R is a spatial prior.

Figure 2: Calibration pattern observed by a defocused camera.

PSF ESTIMATION OVERVIEW



a) Observed blurred disk image

b) Estimated sharp disk

c) Estimated PSF from (a) and (b)

d) Observed point source

Figure 3: Steps in PSF estimation involves (a) taking an observed defocused disk image, (b) estimating the latent sharp image, and c) using Eq. 3 to estimate the PSF. (d) Image of corresponding single pixel. Note the reconstructed aperture shape, and diffraction effects in the estimated PSF (c).



gle pixels may not be sharp (top-left) but our approach produces sharper PSFs (top-right). Our approach can also capture various diffraction effects as can be seen in the bottom row.

Figure 4: Examples of relative blur estimated from a pair of coded apertures (first row), pillboxes (second row), and real PSFs (last row). We evaluate quality by reconstructing the blurrier PSF from the sharper PSF using the estimated relative blur (fourth column).